

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 07 June 2001  
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Auckland  
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
Title of Publication: Naughty Naughty/Spanking Salesman  
Other Known Titles: Naughty Naughty  
Director: Not Stated  
Producer: Not Stated  
Publisher: Not Applicable  
Author: Not Applicable  
Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL  
Country of Origin: Not Stated  
Language: English

.....

### Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Naughty Naughty/Spanking Salesman	Running time:	31.12
Trailers:	Spanking Salesman	Running time:	18.49
	Gold Medal Video Notice		0.10
	Blank Screen		0.27
	Copyright Warning Notice		0.27
		Total Running time:	51.05

Excision/Alteration: No.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

**SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The video recording *Naughty Naughty/Spanking Salesman* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The video recording promotes and supports the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes. The video recording is made up of two features that focus mainly on spanking as a sexualised form of punishment. In the feature *Naughty Naughty* two female participants are presented as young persons in a scenario that involves sexual activity, first in the context of sexual experimentation between the girls, and then in a protracted episode of spanking presented for the titillation of the viewer. The young females have the appearance of older teenagers. However, both act in a manner that suggests young persons just beginning to experiment with adult forms of conduct. They are treated as children by the adult family members involved and their reaction to punishment includes childlike behaviour. The publication encourages a sexual interest in young persons and validates the idea that they may be exploited for adult sexual use and gratification.

A classification of "objectionable" places a limit on the right to the freedom of expression as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, in relation to this publication, the classification is considered to be a reasonable limit prescribed by law that can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

OFLC Ref: 100519